

# International Journal of Marketing and Technology (ISSN: 2249-1058)

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
<u>1</u>	Threshold effects in the relationship between oil revenues and growth in oil exporting countries. Mohsen Mehrara and Seyed Mohammad Hossein Sadr	<u>1-19</u>
<u>2</u>	Management of E-Waste- Black to Green. Dr. D. Sudharani Ravindran, Hari Sundar. G. Ram and M. Sathish	<u>20-33</u>
<u>3</u>	Customer Retention In Nationalised Banks In Erode of Tamilnadu. Dr. S. M. Venkatachalam and Ms. R. Anuradha	<u>34-67</u>
<u>4</u>	The Use of Visual Cues and Metaphors in Advertising. Vikram Kapoor	<u>68-84</u>
<u>5</u>	Library Administration Vs Management. J. B. Parmar and A. B. Parmar	<u>85-98</u>
<u>6</u>	Crisis Management. Dr. (Mrs.) A. Kumudha and Mr. K. Prabakar	<u>99-110</u>
<u>7</u>	<b>Organizational Competency Management: A Competence Performance Approach.</b> Dr. A. Kumuudha, K. Prabakar and Benny J. Godwin	<u>111-121</u>
<u>8</u>	Impact of Just-In-Time Production TowardsGlobalCompetitivenessThroughCompetencyManagement.Dr. A. Kumuudha, Benny J. Godwin and K. PrabakarImage: Competitive C	<u>122-133</u>
<u>9</u>	Data Mining Issues and Key to Success. Deep Mala Sihint	<u>134-149</u>
<u>10</u>	Synthesis of MCM-41 via different routes. Ranajyoti Das and Bharat Modhera	<u>150-171</u>
<u>11</u>	<b>Green Marketing – A Bridge Between Consumerism And Conservation.</b> Richa Arora and Nitin R. Mahankale	<u>172-184</u>
<u>12</u>	An Empirical Study On The Effect of Payment Mechanism For Purchasing Intention – The Moderating Effect of Consumer Involvement in Selected Product Categories in Vadodara. CS. Ashutosh A. Sandhe, Dr. Amit R. Pandya and DR. Abhijeet Chatterjee	<u>185-213</u>
<u>13</u>	A New approach to Sensor less vector control of induction motors. G. Srinivas and Dr. S. Tarakalyani	<u>214-228</u>
<u>14</u>	Eyes Bamboozling the Mind: Use of Optical Illusion in Advertising. Vikram Kapoor	<u>229-247</u>
<u>15</u>	<b>Perception Of Employees Towards Performance Appraisal In Insurance Sector.</b> Rita Goyal	<u>248-276</u>
<u>16</u>	Process Improvement of an Organization Enhancing Better Quality System – Applying TQM. Bhupender Singh, O. P. Mishra and Surender Singh	<u>277-289</u>



Volume 1, Issue 7



## Chief Patron

### Dr. JOSE G. VARGAS-HERNANDEZ

Member of the National System of Researchers, Mexico

Research professor at University Center of Economic and Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara Director of Mass Media at Ayuntamiento de Cd. Guzman Ex. director of Centro de Capacitacion y Adiestramiento

## Patron

#### Dr. Mohammad Reza Noruzi

PhD: Public Administration, Public Sector Policy Making Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran Faculty of Economics and Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran Young Researchers' Club Member, Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran

## Chief Advisors

### Dr. NAGENDRA. S.

Senior Asst. Professor, Department of MBA, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering, Moodabidri

### **Dr. SUNIL KUMAR MISHRA**

Associate Professor, Dronacharya College of Engineering, Gurgaon, INDIA

### Mr. GARRY TAN WEI HAN

Lecturer and Chairperson (Centre for Business and Management), Department of Marketing, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, MALAYSIA

### MS. R. KAVITHA

Assistant Professor, Aloysius Institute of Management and Information, Mangalore, INDIA

### Dr. A. JUSTIN DIRAVIAM

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Sardar Raja College of Engineering, Alangulam Tirunelveli, TAMIL NADU, INDIA



Volume 1, Issue 7



## Editorial Board

### Dr. CRAIG E. REESE

Professor, School of Business, St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens

### Dr. S. N. TAKALIKAR

Principal, St. Johns Institute of Engineering, PALGHAR (M.S.)

### **Dr. RAMPRATAP SINGH**

Professor, Bangalore Institute of International Management, KARNATAKA

Dr. P. MALYADRI Principal, Government Degree College, Osmania University, TANDUR

#### Dr. Y. LOKESWARA CHOUDARY Asst. Professor Cum, SRM B-School, SRM University, CHENNAI

**Prof. Dr. TEKI SURAYYA** Professor, Adikavi Nannaya University, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

Dr. T. DULABABU Principal, The Oxford College of Business Management, BANGALORE

### Dr. A. ARUL LAWRENCE SELVAKUMAR Professor, Adhiparasakthi Engineering College, MELMARAVATHUR, TN

Dr. S. D. SURYAWANSHI Lecturer, College of Engineering Pune, SHIVAJINAGAR

### Dr. S. KALIYAMOORTHY

Professor & Director, Alagappa Institute of Management, KARAIKUDI

#### Prof S. R. BADRINARAYAN Sinhgad Institute for Management & Computer Applications, PUNE

### Mr. GURSEL ILIPINAR

ESADE Business School, Department of Marketing, SPAIN

### Mr. ZEESHAN AHMED

Software Research Eng, Department of Bioinformatics, GERMANY

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us



Volume 1, Issue 7



### Mr. SANJAY ASATI

Dept of ME, M. Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., GONDIA(M.S.)

Mr. G. Y. KUDALE N.M.D. College of Management and Research, GONDIA(M.S.)

## Editorial Advisory Board

### **Dr. MANJIT DAS**

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Economics, M.C.College, ASSAM

### **Dr. ROLI PRADHAN**

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

### Dr. N. KAVITHA

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Mekelle University, ETHIOPIA

### Prof C. M. MARAN

Assistant Professor (Senior), VIT Business School, TAMIL NADU

#### **Dr. RAJIV KHOSLA**

Associate Professor and Head, Chandigarh Business School, MOHALI

### Dr. S. K. SINGH

Asst. Professor, R. D. Foundation Group of Institutions, MODINAGAR

### Dr. (Mrs.) MANISHA N. PALIWAL

Associate Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, PUNE

### Dr. (Mrs.) ARCHANA ARJUN GHATULE

Director, SPSPM, SKN Sinhgad Business School, MAHARASHTRA

### **Dr. NEELAM RANI DHANDA**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, kuk, HARYANA

### Dr. FARAH NAAZ GAURI

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, AURANGABAD

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us







**Prof. Dr. BADAR ALAM IQBAL** Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, UP

Dr. CH. JAYASANKARAPRASAD Assistant Professor, Dept. of Business Management, Krishna University, A. P., INDIA

## Technical Advisors

Mr. Vishal Verma Lecturer, Department of Computer Science, Ambala, INDIA

Mr. Ankit Jain Department of Chemical Engineering, NIT Karnataka, Mangalore, INDIA

## Associate Editors

Dr. SANJAY J. BHAYANI Associate Professor ,Department of Business Management, RAJKOT, INDIA

MOID UDDIN AHMAD Assistant Professor, Jaipuria Institute of Management, NOIDA

Dr. SUNEEL ARORA Assistant Professor, G D Goenka World Institute, Lancaster University, NEW DELHI

> **Mr. P. PRABHU** Assistant Professor, Alagappa University, KARAIKUDI

Mr. MANISH KUMAR Assistant Professor, DBIT, Deptt. Of MBA, DEHRADUN

Mrs. BABITA VERMA Assistant Professor, Bhilai Institute Of Technology, DURG

Ms. MONIKA BHATNAGAR Assistant Professor, Technocrat Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

### Ms. SUPRIYA RAHEJA

Assistant Professor, CSE Department of ITM University, GURGAON

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology

http://www.ijmra.us









A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

## <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

### **Abstract:**

While there is much evidence to support the resource curse hypothesis for resource abundant countries, some studies have found that oil booms raise the economic growth of oil exporting countries. This paper examines the issue of the existence of the threshold effects in the relationship between oil revenues and output growth in oil-exporting countries, applying panel regressions. The empirical results strongly suggest the existence of a threshold beyond which oil revenues growth exerts a negative effect on output. The results indicate that the threshold of growth rate of oil revenues above which oil revenues significantly slows growth is around 18-19 percent for oil exporting countries. In contrast, linear estimation without any allowance for threshold effects would misleadingly have us believe that an increase in the oil revenues increase the growth rate. Failure to account for nonlinearities conceal the resource curse in these countries particularly during extreme oil booms as suggested in previous studies.

Field of research: oil exporting countries; oil revenues; threshold level

### 1. Introduction:

A large literature suggests that there is a 'resource curse': natural resource abundant countries tend to grow slower than resource scarce countries( Gelb, 1988; Sachs and Warner, 1995, 1997, 2001; Karl, 1997; Gylfason et al., 1999; Auty, 2001; Sala-i-Martin and Subramanian, 2003). The literature offers six candidate explanations for the resource curse effect: Dutch disease, governance, conflict, excessive borrowing, inequality and volatility. However, while there is much evidence to support the curse hypothesis, the findings for oil-rich countries suggest that oil booms raise the growth of oil exporters. Spatafora and Warner(1995) investigate the impact on economic growth and development of long-run movements in the external terms of trade, with special reference to the experience of 18 oil-exporting countries. The results imply that Dutch disease effects are strikingly absent. Agriculture and manufacturing do not contract in reaction to an oil price increase. Similarly, Yang and Lam (2007) examines the relationship between oil prices and economic activities for 17 oil-rich developing countries based on cointegration analysis. Their results indicate that in the majority of cases, oil booms are followed by increases

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

### **IJM**

#### Volume 1, Issue 7

### <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

in both GDP per capita and investment. The economic development of the majority of sample countries, regardless of institutional quality, does not appear to be negatively affected by an oil boom, leaving the relation of oil revenues (or prices) with the other macroeconomic variables such as the economic growth debatable. Berument and Ceylan(2007) examine the effects of oil price shocks on a number of selected MENA economies using a structural vector autoregressive model. Their results also indicate that the effects of oil price on GDP of most oil producing countries are positive. So, the issues that whether oil resources are a curse or a blessing for economic growth in oil exporting countries warrant more attention both theoretically and empirically.

We explain this inconsistency by applying a (nonlinear) threshold model in which negative effects of oil revenues on growth may well only begin to kick in after some threshold has been breached. In this regard, recently macroeconomists have adopted an econometric technique simply by looking at the inflexion point or threshold in a nonlinear relationship so that the impact of oil revenues growth on economic growth could be positive up to a certain threshold level and beyond this level the effect turns to be negative. In other words, at this structural breakpoint the sign of the relationship between the two variables would switch. If so, harmful effects of oil revenues are not universal, but appear only over the "threshold" level of oil revenues. This approach can reconcile the conflict results in the literature, supporting both the view of the resource curse and blessing, that is, low revenues growth is helpful(a blessing) for economic growth but undue oil revenues, for example during a generous oil boom, is detrimental for the sustainability of such growth.

Intuitively, in an oil-dependent economy, the exogenous increase in export revenue will release foreign exchange constraints, stimulating economic activities from both supply and demand sides. But, what is often less well understood is that this goes hand-in-hand with the real appreciation and a contraction in tradable sectors including non-oil exports, so that the natural resource blessing could become a curse. This is often taken as the main symptom of the Dutch disease (Devlin and Lewin, 2004). The revenue streams from "black gold" can finance productive physical and social investment so that the effect of oil revenues could be positive until a certain level. But after this level the effect turns to be negative, fueling unsustainable consumption booms and eventual fiscal crises. During the oil busts, with the low (or negative) growth rate of oil revenues, the oil-dependent economies suffer from under-capacity with their

### IJM

#### Volume 1, Issue 7

### <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

access to capital and intermediate imports restricted, particularly in the presence of capital market imperfections (Hausmann and Rigobon, 2003). So, more oil revenues can be a blessing during the busts or moderate booms. But when oil revenues are excessively high, the real exchange rate becomes highly overvalued. So, too much oil revenues exert a negative effect on growth, turning to be a curse. Moreover, rapid growth in public spending, which often follows extreme oil price increases, reduces spending quality and introduces entitlements, including recurrent cost commitments, which are often not sustainable in the long run. Efficiency often suffers from a high proportion of unfinished projects as well as from capital investments that cannot be effectively used because of shortages of recurrent resources. So, it seems that superfluous oil booms may have strong deterrent effects on output, while slight or moderate booms contribute to economic growth.

The main objective of this study is to empirically explore the relationship between oil revenues and economic growth and estimate the threshold level of oil revenues growth for oil exporting developing countries by means of applying a panel framework allowing us to capture both intercountry and inter-temporal variation. In other words, this paper explores an interesting policy issue of how far the oil revenues are non-detrimental for the economic growth of oil exporting countries.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the methodology and data used to obtain the empirical findings reported in this paper. Section 3 provides empirical results. Finally, section 4 presents a summary of the main conclusions.

### 2. Methodology and Data:

In this section we investigate the nonlinear effects of oil revenue changes on economic activities for 13 oil-exporting countries (Algeria, Colombia, Ecuador, Indonesia, Iran, Kuwait, Libya, Mexico, Nigeria, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates and Venezuela) using five-yearaveraged data over the period 1965–2005. Following the work of Levine and Renelt (1992), which searched for a set of robust variables to model growth and the theoretical contributions to the new growth theory literature following Romer (1990), a degree of convergence on the most

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

### <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

appropriate empirical specification for modeling growth, has occurred<sup>1</sup>. Most models include as explanatory variables: investment, population growth, and initial per capita GDP. We include these, together with oil revenues.

To model the non-linearity of the oil revenues-growth relationship and the estimation of threshold of oil revenues this paper uses a spline technique, allowing the relationship to have a kink turning point. The equation to estimate the threshold of oil revenues growth has been considered in the following form<sup>2</sup>:

 $\Delta \ln y_{it} = \alpha_i + \alpha_t + \beta_1 \Delta \ln oilrev_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} (\Delta \ln oilrev_{it} - k) + \delta X_{it} + \Delta \varepsilon_{it}$ 

 $D_{it} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad \Delta oilrev_{it} > k \\ 0 & if \quad \Delta oilrev_{it} \le k \end{cases}$ 

where  $y_{it}$  is the non oil real GDP (based on constant local currency),  $\alpha_i$  is a fixed effect,  $\alpha_t$  is a time effect, *oilrev<sub>it</sub>* is oil revenues in real terms (taking the ratio of nominal oil revenues in US dollars to the US Producer Price Index), k is the threshold level of the growth rate of oil revenues,  $D_{it}$  is a dummy variable that takes a value of one for oil revenues growth rates greater than k percent and zero otherwise,  $X_{it}$  is a vector of control variables which includes investment as a share of GDP (igdp), population growth ( $\Delta \ln pop$ ), the log of initial income level measured as the five-year average of real non oil GDP per capita ( $\ln GDPC_0$ ). The index "i" is the crosssectional index while "t" is the time-series index. The data are obtained from World Development Indicators (WDI) and OPEC Bulletins.

The coefficient of the dummy variable  $(\beta_2)$  measures the incremental effect of oil revenues growth on the economic growth when it is greater than the assumed structural break level (i.e. oil revenues growth is high) and the opposite for the coefficient of  $\beta_1$ . In other words, the coefficient of  $\beta_2$  indicates the difference in the oil revenues effect on growth between the two sides of the structural break. In the above threshold model, the sum of the two coefficients (

http://www.ijmra.us

<sup>1.</sup> For a review of evidence, see Temple (1999).

<sup>2.</sup> Obviously, oil revenues-growth regressions must include other plausible determinants of growth. The variables are chosen based on empirical literature, theories of economic growth, and diagnostic tests.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology

### ISSN: 2249-1058

 $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ ) represents the economic growth rate when the growth rate of oil revenues are higher than k percent (the structural break point).

In order to smooth out business cycle fluctuations and focus on medium- and long-term relationship between oil revenues and growth, equation (1) has been estimated on the five-year average of the panel of 13 countries and 40 annual observations each. The initial income variable GDPC is computed as the five-year average of real income per capita for the previous five-year period, allowing the identification of the coefficient associated with  $(\ln GDPC_{i0})$  under fixed effects.

By estimating regressions for different values of k which is chosen in an ascending order (i.e., 0.01, 0.02 and so on), the optimal value k is obtained by finding the value that maximizes the R<sup>2</sup> from the respective regressions. This also implies that the optimal threshold level is that which minimizes the residual sum of squares (RSS). Moreover, it is important to determine whether the threshold effect is statistically significant. In equation (1), to test for no threshold effects amount simply to testing the null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = 0$ . Under the null hypothesis, the threshold k is not identified, so classical tests, such as the t-test, have nonstandard distributions. Hansen (1996, 1999) suggests a bootstrap method to simulate the asymptotic distribution of the following likelihood ratio test of  $H_0$ :

### $LR_0 = (RSS_0 - RSS_1)/\hat{\sigma}^2$

where RSS<sub>0</sub>, and RSS<sub>1</sub> are the residual sum of squares under  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ , and  $H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$ , respectively; and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is the residual variance under H<sub>1</sub>. In other words, RSS<sub>0</sub> and RSS<sub>1</sub> are the residual sum of squares for equation (1) without and with threshold effects, respectively. The asymptotic distribution of LR<sub>0</sub> is nonstandard and strictly dominates the  $\chi^2$  distribution. The distribution of LR<sub>0</sub> depends in general on the moments of the sample; thus critical values cannot be tabulated. Hansen (1999) shows how to bootstrap the distribution of LR<sub>0</sub> in the context of a panel.

### 3. Empirical Results:

Table 1 provides the estimation results of equation (1), for the linear specification (without threshold effect) and the nonlinear one (with threshold effect). To take the significant heteroskedasticity in the panel into account, equation (1) has been estimated using Generalized Least Squares (GLS). Fixed effects and time dummies have been included (but not reported) to control for cross-country heterogeneity and time effects.

The first step to explore the relation between the growth rate of oil revenues and economic growth is to test for the existence of a threshold effect in the relationship between real GDP growth and oil revenues using the likelihood ratio, LR<sub>0</sub>, discussed above. This implies estimating equation (1) and computing the residual sum of squares (RSS) or  $R^2$  for different threshold levels of oil revenues growth (k). The optimal threshold level is the one that makes RSS minimum or makes  $R^2$  maximum. Figure 1 gives an idea about the goodness-of-fit for different structural breaks. It shows the value of  $R^2$  is maximized when the oil revenues growth structural point is 18%. The row LR<sub>0</sub> in Table 1 gives the observed value of the likelihood ratio. The significance levels have been computed using the bootstrap distributions of LR<sub>0</sub><sup>3</sup>. The null hypothesis of no threshold effects can be rejected at least at the 1 percent significance, strongly supporting the existence of threshold effects.

The column 1 in Table 1 provides the estimation results of equation (1), conditional on the threshold estimate. Recall that the existence of a threshold effect cannot be inferred simply from the significance level of the coefficient on the interactive term  $D(\Delta \ln oilrev - k)$  as the distribution of the t-statistic for this variable is highly nonstandard under the null hypothesis of no threshold effect. This is why the null hypothesis has been tested using the bootstrap distribution of the likelihood ratio LR<sub>0</sub>. However, the distribution of the t-values of all explanatory variables retains their usual distribution under the alternative hypothesis of a threshold effect. While oil revenues growth below its threshold level has a small positive effect on growth. When the oil revenues growth is below the 18% threshold level, 10% percent increase in the growth rate of oil

<sup>1.</sup> For a more detailed discussion on the computation of the bootstrap distribution of LRo, see Hansen (1999).

### <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

revenues leads to an increase by 1.3% in the non-oil GDP growth. On the other hand, the effect of oil revenues growth when it is greater than %18 is negative and significant: 10% percent increase in the oil revenues growth leads to a decrease by -2.1% in the non-oil GDP growth. The sum of the two coefficients (-0.08) means the annual growth rate of real GDP declines by -0. 8% when the growth rate of oil revenues jumps over the structural breakpoint.

When the equation is re-estimated without the threshold effects (the column 2 in Table 1), panel results for the linear model (misleadingly) indicate that the coefficient of oil revenues growth is positive and statistically significant at 10 percent, contrary to the resource curse effect. Indeed a 10% increase in oil revenues growth would increase economic growth by 1.5%, a relatively strong positive impact when compared to the spline function results for a growth rate of oil revenues above the threshold 18%. This suggests that not taking structural breaks into account will conceal the detrimental effects of oil revenues during extreme oil booms.

All of the (control) independent variables have the predicted sign. As expected, investment ratio has a positive and significant impact on economic growth. On average, an increase in the investment-GDP ratio of 10 percentage points will boost real GDP growth by 1.3 percentage points for non-linear specification and 1.6 percentage points for linear specification. In the empirical growth literature, the log of the initial GDP per capita has been generally included in growth regressions to test conditional convergence. Conditional convergence holds if the coefficient on  $\ln GDPC_0$  is negative. The results for both specifications indicate that the negative convergence effect is confirmed at various levels of significance so that a low initial GDP is associated with faster growth in output. Faster population growth is associated with faster output growth (and possibly with slower output per capita).







**Figure1: Goodness of Fit for Different Structural Breaks** 



### Table1: Estimation of Model (sample1965-2005)

(Dependent Variable: non-oil	GDP growth)
------------------------------	-------------

Independent Variables	(1)	(2)
Δln <i>oilrev</i>	0.13	0.15
IV / '	(1.93) <sup>b</sup>	(3.79) <sup>a</sup>
$D(\Delta \ln oil - k)$	-0.21	-
	(-5.78) <sup>a</sup>	
igdp	0.13	0.16
	(7.91) <sup>a</sup>	( 2.12) <sup>b</sup>
ln GDPC <sub>0</sub>	-0.04	-0.06
	(-7.31) <sup>a</sup>	(-6.78) <sup>a</sup>
$\Delta \ln pop$	0.13	0.11
	(2.13) <sup>b</sup>	(1.69) <sup>c</sup>

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us





Optimal threshold(k)	18%	The second second
LR <sub>0</sub>	11.26 <sup>a</sup>	-
Critical value (1%)	6.28	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.61	0.31
F-statistics	8.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.68 <sup>a</sup>

Notes: The t-statistics, given between parentheses, are computed from White heteroskedasticityconsistent standard errors. The letters "a", "b", "c", indicate statistical significance at 1, 5, and 10 percent, respectively. The growth rate of a variable x is approximated by the first difference of the log of x, dlog(x). The estimated time dummies and country-specific effects are not reported.

### Robustness:

The question of robustness is of particular interest in the empirical analysis of growth, since economic theory provides little guidance on the "true" specification. Here we examine the results sensitivity to Data frequency and additional explanatory variables. The estimation and inference in the previous section were based on five-year averages of the data. This procedure has become common practice in empirical growth literature and aims at filtering out business cycle fluctuations and allowing the focus to be on the medium- and long-term trend in the data. Threshold estimation and estimation of equation (1) have also been carried out with annual data in order to examine two issues<sup>4</sup>. First, it is interesting to analyze how data frequency changes the location and the magnitude of the threshold effect and the estimation results of equation (1). Second, while noisier, annual data provide more degrees of freedom, especially at the tails of the distribution for oil revenues growth. The threshold estimates with yearly data are somewhat different but very close (19 percent for annual data versus 18 percent for five year averages of data). The high-oil revenues effect (that is,  $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ ) is more powerful for yearly data (-0.11 percent for annual data versus -.08 percent for yearly data.

Our base model includes only variables that were found to be robust in the empirical growth literature. The use of fixed effects also helps capture cross-country differences in GDP growth.

<sup>1.</sup> The results are available on request (not reported here).

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

### **IJM**

#### Volume 1, Issue 7

### <u>ISSN: 2249-1058</u>

Since endogenous growth theory has emphasized the role of human capital in the growth process of a country, equation (1) has been augmented by including a proxy for human capital. Following the empirical growth literature, human capital is proxied by enrollment rates in the primary, secondary, and tertiary schools. All three variables came out statistically insignificant. Furthermore, their inclusion does not significantly change the results. In fact, the threshold values remain the same. The reason may be that the three proxies (primary, secondary, and tertiary enrollment) are highly correlated with the initial income variable (*lnGDPCo*). A regression of the former on the latter yields an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.88, 0.83, and 0.87, respectively. In other words, the initial income variable appears to be picking up most of the cross-country variation in school enrollment.

Financial development is another important variable that was emphasized by King and Levine (1993). Following the latter, we used three different proxies for financial depth. The first measures the size of the formal financial intermediary sector relative to economic activity (the ratio of liquid liabilities of the financial system, measured by M2 to GDP); the second measures the proportion of credit allocated to the private sector (the ratio of claims on the nonfinancial private sector to total domestic credit); and the third is simply the second normalized by GDP instead of total domestic credit. Adding these variables does not change the estimated threshold values.

### 4. <u>Conclu<mark>sio</mark>ns:</u>

This paper examines the issue of the existence of threshold effects in the relationship between oil revenues and economic growth. The data cover 13 oil-exporting countries for the period 1965-2005. To eliminate short-term fluctuations, the data have been averaged over periods of five years. The results for the linear specification, consistent with the evidence found by Spatafora and Warner (1995), Yang and Lam (2007) and Berument and Ceylan (2007) suggest a positive relationship between growth and oil revenues. But, using the structural breakpoint methodology proved that this relation tend to be positive just below a threshold for the growth rate of oil revenues equal to %18. And after this point the effect tends to be negative. Indeed, the threshold level is taken to be the point at which oil exporting countries should begin to worry about oil revenues growth. So, although a modest boom can be a blessing, but an excessive boom (where

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

oil revenues increase above the threshold level) turns to be a curse to the oil exporting countries. It seems that failure to allow to a nonlinear association between oil revenues and growth is responsible for such odd results in oil exporting countries.

The negative and significant relationship between oil revenues and growth is robust with respect to data frequency and alternative specifications. Interestingly, using yearly data yields threshold levels that are close to the estimates from the five-year-averaged data (19% and 18% respectively) and a stronger negative relationship between oil revenues and growth.

The rich-resource countries suffering from a weak and undiversified economic base without stabilizing mechanisms in order to cushion shocks would be so vulnerable to boom-bust cycles, incurring costly instability. There is therefore a strong case for savings schemes, sound fiscal management and strong commitment to fiscal discipline to cushion the domestic economy from the sharp and unpredictable variations in oil prices and revenue. Policymakers must deploy institutional mechanisms to manage oil booms and busts through expenditure restraint, selfinsurance, and diversification of the real sector. To achieve sustainable growth in the future, these countries must take policy measures that substantially enlarge and diversify their economic base. Moreover, to insulate the economy from oil revenue volatility requires de-linking fiscal expenditures from current revenue to undo the resource curse during extreme oil booms. So, an "oil revenue fund" (or, more generally, a "natural resource fund") is one such institutional mechanism for managing the oil revenues. By setting aside funds in a separate account, stabilization funds can provide a check against a natural tendency of governments to spend all of the resources at their disposal; and they can help ensure that the funds are spent on investments, so that the depletion of natural resources is offset by an increase in human and physical capital. Stabilization funds can also be used to reduce rent seeking particularly during extreme booms. By providing an open and transparent process for determining how the funds are used, stabilization funds can help prevent and diminish the often violent conflicts that have so marked resource-rich countries.

Moreover, if a country is unable to use the funds well, it may not make sense to extract natural resources as fast as possible. It may be preferable to leave the resources in the ground, increasing in value as resources become scarcer and prices increase. Another way that policy makers could

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

decrease the vulnerability to oil shocks would be to lower borrowing constraints so that agents could better smooth consumption. Perhaps developing deeper capital markets is one solution.

ISSN: 2249-1058

### **References:**

- Auty, R. M. (ed.), 2001, Resource Abundance and Economic Development, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Berument H. and N. B. Ceylan, 2007, the impact of oil price shocks on the economic growth of the selected MENA countries, webpage: http://www.bilkent.edu.tr/~berument
- Devlin, J. and M. Lewin, 2004, Managing Oil Booms and Busts in Developing Countries, Draft Chapter for: Managing Volatility and Crises, A Practitioner's Guide.
- Gelb, A.H., 1988, Windfall Gains: Blessing or Curse?, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gylfason, T., T. T. Herbertsson and G. Zoega, 1999, A Mixed Blessing: Natural Resources and Economic Growth, Macroeconomic Dynamics, 3, 204-225.
- Hansen, B., 1999, Threshold Effects in Non-Dynamic Panels: Estimation, Testing, and Inference, Journal of Econometrics, 93, 2, 345-68.
- Hausmann, R. and R. Rigobon, 2003, An alternative explanation of the resource curse; In Jeffrey Davis, Rolando Ossowski, and Annalisa Fedelino, eds., Fiscal Policy Formulation and Implementation in Oil-Producing Countries. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- Karl, T. L., 1997, The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro States ,University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- King, R. and R. Levine, 1993, Finance and Growth: Schumpeter Might Be Right, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 108, 717-37.
- Levine, R. and D. Renelt, 1992, A Sensitivity Analysis of Cross-Country Growth Regressions, American Economic Review, 82, 942-63.
- Romer, D., 1990, Endogenous technological change, Journal of Political Economy, 98, 71– 102.
- Sachs, J. D. and A. M. Warner, 1995, Natural Resource Abundance and Economic Growth, NBER Working Paper No. 5398.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

•

### IJMT

Sachs, J. D. and A. M. Warner, 1997, Sources of Slow Growth in African Economies,

ISSN: 2249-1058

Journal of African Economies, 6, 3, 335-76.

- Sachs, J. D. and A. M. Warner, 2001, The Curse of Natural Resources, European Economic Review, 45, 827-838.
- Sala-i-Martin, X. and A. Subramanian, 2003, Addressing the Natural Resource Curse: An Illustration from Nigeria, NBER Working Paper No. 9804.
- Spatafora N. and A. Warner, 1995, Macroeconomic Effects of Terms-of-Trades Shocks: the Case of Oil Exporting Countries, Policy Research Working Paper, 1410, the World Bank.
- Yang, B. and Y. Lam, 2007, Resource booms and economic development: the time series dynamics for 17 oil-rich countries, Applied Economics Letters, 1-4